7:54 AM PDT 2023-05-24

**Call to Order:** 0802

**Meeting Lead:** Joshua BRICKMAN

**Attendees:** 18

**Member Count:** 70

**Next Meeting:** Wednesday May 31st, 2023

**Highlights:**

~

**Old Business:**

~

**New Business:**

* Continued addressing outstanding issues on GitHub
  + Reviewed Issue #33 – ‘Define Trusted Provider’
    - This term is not used in the guidance document, therefore no definition required at this time. However, this concept may be revisited in the future if the need arises.
    - This issue is now closed.
  + Reviewed Issue #34 – ‘Trusted Provider—CAVP’
    - Algorithm testing and labeling information to include in CAVP certificates was added in the “CPU Equivalency” section of the guidance doc. Similar to Issue #33, the term “Trusted Provider” is not used, therefore no further definition required.
    - This issue is now closed.
  + Reviewed Issue #47 – ‘Steps for Adding “CC in the Cloud” to a PP’
    - Section “High Level Process Overview” was previously added to the guidance document to address this issue and include additional refinements.
    - Justin F to review and confirm the content is accurate
  + Reviewed issue #51 – ‘Title Page’
    - This issue to remain open, not high priority.
  + Reviewed Issue #54 – ‘Products vs Services’
    - Section “Preface” was modified in the previous meeting to address similar issues and to clarify these terms.
    - This issue has now been closed
  + Reviewed Issue #61 – Glossary – End User
    - Definitions from CCPART1 have been posted as a comment by user heimannrj which were discussed.
    - Discussion on “End User” definition and how this entity can be described. References to “End User” have been replaced by the term “Customer” for clarity, therefore no definition for “End User” is required.
    - This issue has now been closed.
* Discussion around whether the “Trusted Provider” definition is needed.
  + While this term is not used in the guidance doc, it is present in the ESR. However, the general consensus among members is that changing the ESR is not a priority and could have negative impacts on the CCitC working group and its supporters. It is understood that the overall CCitC project can evolve over time which may cause some details to no longer align with the ESR, however this has not been identified to be of major concern at this time.
  + The more relevant term for the CCitC project is “Trusted Platform” which is addressed in the guidance document.
  + Matt Downey confirmed that it makes sense to focus more on Trusted Platform and to move forward with this approach.
  + Section “Trusted Cloud Service Provider (TCSP)” in the guidance document has been modified as follows:
    - *“Proposed to delete”*
* Discussion around Justin F’s work within the MDM working groups and some of the progress that has been made.

**Questions/Follow-ups:**

* Josh to reach out to Bill Rutledge to propose a meeting space at the ICCC hotel for CCitC meetings.

***End of Meeting – Adjourned 0900 PDT***